



# National Transportation Safety Board Aviation Accident Final Report

---

<b>Location:</b>	Hamilton, MT	<b>Accident Number:</b>	WPR13LA302
<b>Date &amp; Time:</b>	07/01/2013, 1100 MDT	<b>Registration:</b>	N989WC
<b>Aircraft:</b>	S.N.I.A.S. AS-350B ECUREUIL	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Defining Event:</b>	Loss of engine power (total)	<b>Injuries:</b>	1 None
<b>Flight Conducted Under:</b>	Part 91: General Aviation - Personal		

---

## Analysis

The pilot reported that, about 20 minutes after departure, the helicopter lost all engine power during cruise flight. The pilot performed an autorotation into a small forest clearing. During the landing sequence, the helicopter sustained substantial damage.

Examination of the engine revealed that the pneumatic fuel controller (P2) pipe that delivered air pressure from the centrifugal compressor to the fuel control unit (FCU) had separated at the FCU fitting. The P2 pipe's failure allowed ambient air pressure to enter the line and resulted in the FCU commanding the engine to spool down to ground idle speed. The pipe exhibited deformation due to bending damage and signatures indicating that it was making contact with its union fitting at the FCU. The P2 pipe was a thin-walled type, which the engine manufacturer had recommended be replaced with a thicker version 29 years previously. Further, the engine manufacturer had issued multiple service letters advising maintenance personnel of the correct procedures for fitting, inspecting, and maintaining such air lines. The pipe's fracture surfaces at the separation point exhibited signatures consistent with fatigue as a result of noncompliance with the manufacturer's recommendations.

## Probable Cause and Findings

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be: The loss of engine power during cruise flight due to the fatigue failure of a pneumatic fuel controller pipe. Also causal was maintenance personnel's failure to adequately maintain the pipe and replace it with a thicker type.

## Findings

<b>Aircraft</b>	Fuel controlling system - Fatigue/wear/corrosion (Cause)
<b>Personnel issues</b>	Replacement - Maintenance personnel (Cause) Installation - Maintenance personnel (Cause)

## Factual Information

### HISTORY OF FLIGHT

On July 1, 2013, about 1100 mountain daylight time, an S.N.I.A.S. (Eurocopter) AS-350B, N989WC, landed hard following a loss of engine power near Hamilton, Montana. The helicopter was registered to Hat Creek Helicopters LLC, and operated by the pilot under the provisions of 14 Code of Federal Regulations Part 91. The commercial pilot was not injured. The helicopter sustained substantial damage during the accident sequence. The local flight departed Ravalli County Airport, Hamilton, about 20 minutes prior, with a planned destination of Riddick Field Airport, Philipsburg, Montana. Visual meteorological conditions prevailed, and a company VFR flight plan had been filed.

The pilot reported that during cruise flight, about 20 minutes after departure, and at an altitude of 7,200 feet msl, the helicopter suddenly began to yaw. The low rpm horn then sounded and he glanced at the engine instruments, which indicated the engine speed had reduced to ground idle. He lowered the collective and initiated a 180-degree autorotation into a small clearing in wooded terrain 1,000 feet below. During the landing sequence the tailboom partially separated from the aft bulkhead, and the lower fuselage sustained substantial damage.

### AIRCRAFT INFORMATION

The helicopter, manufactured in 1985, was equipped with a Turbomeca Arriel 1B engine, serial number 857. Maintenance records indicated that the engine was originally manufactured in 1985, and had accrued a total of 9,921.5 flight hours as of January 28, 2013. The most recent inspection was a 150-hour Airframe/Engine Inspection, and was completed on April 30, 2013. At that time the airframe had accumulated a total of 9,999.8 hours, with a total of 10,058.5 hours at the time of the accident.

### TESTS AND RESEARCH

#### Engine Examination

Examination of the engine revealed that the pneumatic control pipe (P2) which delivered air pressure from the centrifugal compressor to the Fuel Control Unit (FCU) had separated at the FCU fitting.

According to Turbomeca engine maintenance documentation, P2 pressure is utilized within the fuel control unit as a means (via the acceleration controller) to regulate fuel flow into the engine. A loss of pressure will result in the reduction of fuel delivered to the engine, and its subsequent deceleration to idle.

#### P2 Pipe Examination

The pipe was removed from the engine and sent to the Turbomeca Accident Investigation Laboratory in France for metallurgical evaluation.

The pipe (part number 0 301 02 766 0) was comprised of Z2CN18-10 (AISI 304L) stainless steel, with a wall thickness of 0.5 mm. Examination revealed that the pipe had separated just above the intersection of the flare and the pipe wall. The separation surface was examined utilizing scanning electron microscopy, and frontal lines in the shape of crescents could be seen emanating from an incipient area on the outer diameter of the pipe. Examination of the pipe's outer surface revealed buffeting marks in the area of the union sleeve edge, consistent with

union sleeve contact.

A geometric inspection of the pipe was performed after it was mounted in a pipe reference fixture. The pipe exhibited deformation at the FCU end, such that it had displaced about 50 mm from the FCU inlet location.

### Maintenance Instructions

Turbomeca had released multiple service letters and maintenance instructions documenting the installation, evaluation, and replacement of air system pipes.

Turbomeca Service Letter 1131/86/ARL/98, issued May 1986, documented the rupture of a P2 pipe encountered on an engine which had over 4,000 hours of operating time. The letter recommended that all engines with operating times of 2,500 or more hours undergo a dye-penetrant, deformation, and clearance inspection.

Subsequently, in October 1986, Turbomeca issued an internal "Change Advice" notice 37616, documenting the replacement of the 0.5mm wall thickness P2 pipe, with a pipe of 0.8mm thickness to improve, "mechanical strength" and to "facilitate maintenance".

Service Letter No. 2188/02/ARRIEL1/68, issued June 2002, continued to describe further incidents of P2 pipe rupture, and reminded maintenance personnel of the importance of periodical inspections of pipes and unions, the use of correct torque when tightening union components, and the consequences of not utilizing pipe supports correctly. The letter further stated, "No stressing of pipes. Too great a stress can lead to cracks, or even rupture of the pipe, which can lead to leaks."

Service Letter 1807/98/ARRIEL1/40, issued October 2003, described further incidents regarding the engine air system, and reminded maintenance personnel of the importance of maintaining proper torque of system unions, and the consequences of both a lack of proper pipe support, as well as component distortion as a result of mishandling. The letter also made recommendation that the 0.8mm pipe be installed.

### History of Flight

Enroute-cruise	Loss of engine power (total) (Defining event)
Autorotation	Off-field or emergency landing
Landing-flare/touchdown	Hard landing

## Pilot Information

<b>Certificate:</b>	Commercial	<b>Age:</b>	33
<b>Airplane Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Seat Occupied:</b>	Right
<b>Other Aircraft Rating(s):</b>	Helicopter	<b>Restraint Used:</b>	Seatbelt, Shoulder harness
<b>Instrument Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Second Pilot Present:</b>	No
<b>Instructor Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Toxicology Performed:</b>	No
<b>Medical Certification:</b>	Class 2 Without Waivers/Limitations	<b>Last Medical Exam:</b>	03/05/2013
<b>Occupational Pilot:</b>	No	<b>Last Flight Review or Equivalent:</b>	10/25/2012
<b>Flight Time:</b>	388.7 hours (Total, all aircraft), 84.6 hours (Total, this make and model)		

## Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information

<b>Aircraft Manufacturer:</b>	S.N.I.A.S.	<b>Registration:</b>	N989WC
<b>Model/Series:</b>	AS-350B ECUREUIL	<b>Aircraft Category:</b>	Helicopter
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>		<b>Amateur Built:</b>	No
<b>Airworthiness Certificate:</b>	Normal	<b>Serial Number:</b>	1923
<b>Landing Gear Type:</b>	Skid	<b>Seats:</b>	6
<b>Date/Type of Last Inspection:</b>	04/30/2013, Continuous Airworthiness	<b>Certified Max Gross Wt.:</b>	4300 lbs
<b>Time Since Last Inspection:</b>	59 Hours	<b>Engines:</b>	1 Turbo Shaft
<b>Airframe Total Time:</b>	10000 Hours	<b>Engine Manufacturer:</b>	Turbomeca
<b>ELT:</b>	C126 installed, activated, did not aid in locating accident	<b>Engine Model/Series:</b>	Arriel 1B
<b>Registered Owner:</b>	HAT CREEK HELICOPTERS LLC	<b>Rated Power:</b>	681 hp
<b>Operator:</b>	On file	<b>Air Carrier Operating Certificate:</b>	None

## Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

Observation Facility, Elevation:	KMSO, 3206 ft msl	Observation Time:	1053 MDT
Distance from Accident Site:	41 Nautical Miles	Condition of Light:	Day
Direction from Accident Site:	350°	Conditions at Accident Site:	Visual Conditions
Lowest Cloud Condition:	Clear	Temperature/Dew Point:	26° C / 14° C
Lowest Ceiling:	None	Visibility	10 Miles
Wind Speed/Gusts, Direction:	Calm	Visibility (RVR):	
Altimeter Setting:	30.16 inches Hg	Visibility (RVV):	
Precipitation and Obscuration:	No Obscuration; No Precipitation		
Departure Point:	Hamilton, MT (6S5)	Type of Flight Plan Filed:	Company VFR
Destination:	Philipsburg, MT (U05)	Type of Clearance:	None
Departure Time:	1040 MDT	Type of Airspace:	Class G

## Airport Information

Airport:	Hamilton (6S5)	Runway Surface Type:	
Airport Elevation:	3642 ft	Runway Surface Condition:	
Runway Used:	N/A	IFR Approach:	None
Runway Length/Width:		VFR Approach/Landing:	None

## Wreckage and Impact Information

Crew Injuries:	1 None	Aircraft Damage:	Substantial
Passenger Injuries:	N/A	Aircraft Fire:	None
Ground Injuries:	N/A	Aircraft Explosion:	None
Total Injuries:	1 None		

## Administrative Information

Investigator In Charge (IIC):	Elliott Simpson	Adopted Date:	10/27/2014
Additional Participating Persons:	Cliff Carpenter; Federal Aviation Administration FSDO; Helena, MT Bryan Larimore; Turbomeca; Grand Prairie, TX Seth Buttner; Eurocopter; Grand Prairie, TX Vincent Ecalte; Bureau d'Enquêtes et d'Analyses (BEA); Le Bourget, FN		
Publish Date:	10/27/2014		
Investigation Docket:	<a href="http://dms.nts.gov/pubdms/search/dockList.cfm?mKey=87347">http://dms.nts.gov/pubdms/search/dockList.cfm?mKey=87347</a>		

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB), established in 1967, is an independent federal agency mandated by Congress through the Independent Safety Board Act of 1974 to investigate transportation accidents, determine the probable causes of the accidents, issue safety recommendations, study transportation safety issues, and evaluate the safety effectiveness of government agencies involved in transportation. The NTSB makes public its actions and decisions through accident reports, safety studies, special investigation reports, safety recommendations, and statistical reviews.

The Independent Safety Board Act, as codified at 49 U.S.C. Section 1154(b), precludes the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an incident or accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report.